

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/528,499	SHUSTER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL P. STEPHENSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 3676

**All Participants:**

- (1) DANIEL P. STEPHENSON. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Matt Moscicki. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 February 2009

**Time:** 12:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner related that the case was in condition for allowance, but the blank spaces within the specification needed to be changed. The attorney for the applicant stated that this section was unnecessary and agreed to deleting it through an examiner's amendment.